

Categorical Exclusion (CX) Documentation
Not Established by Statute
WY-D030-2016-0111-CX

BP America Production Company

A. Background

BLM Office: Rawlins Field Office

Case file Serial Number: _____

WYW-185319

Proposed Action

Title/Type:

BP Polyline Monument Lake 11-50 & 20d 10" Polyline.

Location of Proposed
Action:

Sixth Principal Meridian, Sweetwater County, Wyoming
T. 22 N., R. 93 W.,
sec 8, N1/2;
sec 10, N/2N1/2.
T. 22 N., R. 94 W.,
sec 12, SE1/4SE1/4;
sec 14, SE1/4SE1/4;
sec 22, N1/2NE1/4.

Description of Proposed Action: 10" temporary polyline.

B. Land Use Plan Conformance

Land Use Plan Name: Record of Decision and
Approved Rawlins
Resource Management

Date Approved/Amended: _____

December 24, 2008

September 21, 2015

The proposed action is in conformance with the applicable LUP because it is specifically provided for in the following LUP decision(s):

Development of oil and gas reserves is in conformance with the RMP decision as described in Section 2.3.7 Minerals (page 2-20), *Management Objectives, 2. Provide opportunities for exploration and development of conventional and unconventional oil and gas, coal, and other leasable minerals.* This project is also in conformance with Section 2.3.5 Lands and Realty (page 2-16), *Management Objectives, 6 Respond to internal and external requests (e.g., pipelines, access roads) for land authorizations.*

The Resource Management Plan was amended by the *Record of Decision and Approved Resource Management Plan Amendments for the Rocky Mountain Region, Including the Greater Sage-Grouse Sub-Regions of Lewistown, North Dakota, Northwest Colorado, and Wyoming* (September 2015).

C. Compliance with NEPA

The Proposed Action is categorically excluded from further documentation under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in accordance with 516 DM 11.9(E), or 43 CFR 46.210:

- (19): Issuance of short-term (3 years or less) rights-of-way or land use authorizations for such uses as storage sites, apiary sites, and construction sites where the proposal includes rehabilitation to restore the land to its natural or original condition.


This categorical exclusion is appropriate in this situation because there are no extraordinary circumstances potentially

having effects that may significantly affect the environment. The proposed action has been reviewed, and none of the extraordinary circumstances described in 43 CFR 46.215 apply. These circumstances, and the rationale specific to this categorical exclusion, are listed and described in Table 1.

I considered the proposed BP Polyline Monument Lake 11-50 & 20d 10" Temporary Polyline project and the project design features in my evaluation, and find that the Proposed Action would not have significant impacts to the human environment.

D. SIGNATURE

Authorizing Official:



Field Manager, Rawlins Field Office

APR 26 2016

Date

E. CONTACT PERSON/PREPARER



4/11/16

Brandon Snyder
Rawlins Field Office
P. O. Box 2407
Rawlins, WY 82301
307-328-4261
bwsnyder@blm.gov

Date

Table 1. Extraordinary Circumstances

WYW-185319

	Extraordinary Circumstances	Affected Yes/No	Rationale
(a)	Have significant impacts on public health or safety.	No	The proposed project would not affect public health or safety.
b)	Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (EO 11990); floodplains (EO 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas.	No	The proposed project is a temporary surface polyline and will not have significant impacts to these resources.
(c)	Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources (National Environmental Policy Act Section 102(2)(E)).	No	The proposed project is a temporary surface polyline and will not have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources.
(d)	Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks.	No	No significant environmental effects or unknown risks have been identified.
(e)	Establish precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about a future consideration with significant environmental effects.	No	The proposed project is a temporary surface polyline and will not establish precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about a future consideration with significant environmental effects
(f)	Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant environmental effects.	No	The Proposed Action, when considered with other actions in the area, would not have cumulatively significant environmental effects to BLM lands and/or resources.
(g)	Have significant impacts on properties listed, or eligible for listing, on the National Register of Historic Places as determined by the bureau.	No	The proposed project is a temporary surface polyline and will not have significant impacts on properties listed, or eligible for listing, on the National Register of Historic Places as determined by the bureau.
(h)	Have significant impacts on species listed, or proposed to be listed, on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical habitat for these species.	No	The proposed project is a temporary surface polyline, place in existing disturbance, and will not have significant impacts on species listed, or proposed to be listed, on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical habitat for these species.
(i)	Violate a Federal law, or a state, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.	No	The Proposed Action would not violate a Federal law, or a state, local, or tribal law or a requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.

(j)	Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (Executive Order 12898).	No	The Proposed Action would not have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations.
(k)	Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (Executive Order 13007).	No	The proposed project would not limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites.
(l)	Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112).	No	As proposed, the project design features would ensure that this project would not contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species.